

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,102	KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ERIK KASHNIKOW	1782	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ERIK KASHNIKOW.

(2) Martin Ostrowski.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*n/a*

Claims discussed:  
*Claims 1, 16, and 32-37*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner called applicants representative to discuss an examiners amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to let the examiner amend the term "consisting essentially" in all the amended claims to "comprising".*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:30pm

  

/Rena L. Dye/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)